

# Epidemiological analysis of sexual violence against pregnant women in the city of São Paulo over the last 10 years

Received in: 12/05/2024

Guilherme França Silva<sup>1</sup>, Ana Caroline Domingues de Souza<sup>1</sup>, Isa Laura Santos<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Lorran Pereira Santos<sup>1</sup>, Maria Eduarda Domingues Rozenti<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Cristina Montes<sup>2</sup>, Cintia Leci Rodrigues<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medicine School, Santo Amaro University, Sao Paulo/SP, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Cruzeiro do Sul University, Sao Paulo/SP, Brazil.

# **ABSTRACT**

#### **OBJECTIVE**

To analyze the epidemiological profile of sexual violence against pregnant women in São Paulo.

This epidemiological study was conducted using data from TabNet São Paulo, considering information on sexual violence against pregnant women in the municipality of São Paulo from 2015 to 2024, correlating the variables gestational trimester (GT), education level, age group, race, and regional administration.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

During the analyzed period, 3,523 pregnant women were victims of sexual violence. Most victims were white (41.81%), followed by mixed-race (37.25%) and black (16.30%) women, suggesting under reporting among ethnic-racial minorities. Approximately 61.22% of the victims were aged 20-34 years, followed by 35-49 years (14.95%), 15-19 years (14.54%), 10-14 years (9.16%), and 50-64 years (0.12%), aligning with the peak female fertility age group. Only 30.86% had completed high school, followed by those with higher education (11.25%), completed elementary education (5.39%), and illiterates (0.43%), highlighting a significant factor of social and interpersonal challenges in relationships. Additionally, 72.35% of cases occurred in the first GT, a period of greater maternal-fetal risk, followed by the second GT (16.95%) and, lastly, the third GT (6.995%). Limitations, such as the high rate of missing fields in TabNet, impaired the accuracy of location data analyses, with over 70% of blank data.

# **CONCLUSION**

The results indicate a higher number of reported cases of sexual violence against pregnant women aged 20-34, predominantly white or mixed-race, with a prevalence among women with completed high school education, occurring in the first GT. The findings underscore the urgency of public policies aimed at protecting pregnant women, focusing on the identification of cases.

#### **DESCRIPTORS**

Pregnancy, Gestation, Sexual abuse, Violence.

#### Corresponding author:

Cintia Leci Rodrigues.

Medicine School, Santo Amaro University, São Paulo, SP. R. Prof. Enéas de Siqueira Neto, 340 - Jardim das Imbuias, São Paulo/SP, 04829-300.

E-mail: cintialeci@prof.unisa.br.

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8064-2203.

Copyright: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons.

Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.

# DOI:



#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Declared during the 49th World Health Assembly as one of the major global public health problems, violence entails a series of consequences for health, as well as psychological and social development, representing a risk at both individual and community levels. Divided into various categories, violence against pregnant women becomes particularly relevant due to its numerous consequences on maternal and neonatal mortality, requiring greater attention. <sup>2</sup>

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 1 in 5 women experiences some form of violence during their lifetime, and the prevalence of intimate partner violence during pregnancy can range from 1% to 28% when compared across different countries.<sup>2,3</sup> However, in Brazil, only about 8% of pregnant women report such incidents.<sup>4</sup>

Furthermore, due to the increased vulnerability of women during pregnancy, experiencing violence during this period leads to consequences for both the mother and the baby. The study by Audi *et al.* demonstrated that pregnant women who are victims of violence tend to have greater difficulty attending prenatal care, <sup>5,6</sup> with 6.5% of them reporting having experienced physical or sexual violence.<sup>5</sup>

Similarly, pregnant women who have experienced abuse are 2.5 times more likely to develop depression compared to those who have not been abused, as well as a higher propensity for tobacco, alcohol, and illicit drug use. 5.6 Moreover, the experience of abuse is also associated with the occurrence of low birth weight, preterm birth, miscarriage, and neonatal death. 7

In this context, it is important to analyze the sociodemographic factors related to the profile of pregnant women who suffer sexual violence in the city of São Paulo, once this can serve as a tool for the development of public policies aimed at this segment of population. Therefore, the objective of this study was to analyze the epidemiological profile of sexual violence against pregnant women in the city of São Paulo from 2015 to 2024.

### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Study design

This is an epdemiological study with a quantitative approach, developed trhough exploratory documentary research.

# 2.2 Data Collection, variables and analysis of results

The information was collected regarding pregnant women who suffered sexual violence from July 2015 to June 2024 in the city of São Paulo. For this, data from the TabNet database of the Hospital Information System (SIH) was used, which provides access to information on interpersonal violence in the state and municipality of São Paulo. The study considered the variables of race/color, age group, education level, gestational trimester, and regional municipality.

Data analysis was conducted using spreadsheet tools. The analysis steps included data preparation, which involved the removal of duplicate or incomplete records and the organization of data into tables and bar charts to visualize variations over the analyzed period.


# **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The total number of pregnant women who suffered sexual violence recorded in the TabNet São Paulo database from July 2015 to June 2024 was 3,529. However, 6 notifications related to pregnant women aged 65 and above were excluded, resulting in 3,523 notifications.

Regarding the age group of pregnant women, according to the classification of SINAN (Sistema de Informações de Agravos de Notificação), the age group with the highest number of recorded cases was between 20 and 34 years (2,157  $\approx$  61.22%), followed by 35 and 49 years (527  $\approx$  14.95%), 15 and 19 years (512  $\approx$  14.54%), 10 and 14 years (323  $\approx$  9.16%), and between 50 and 64 years (4  $\approx$  0.12%) as the least recorded (Figure 1). The data found regarding this variable align with what was proposed by Shamu et al. (2011),8 who state that younger women have a significantly higher risk of experien-

cing sexual violence during pregnancy. This finding can be explained by the fact that the two age groups with the highest number of notifications are directly related to the peak of female fertility. 9


Figure 1 - Sexual Violence in Pregnant Women by Age Group and Gestational Age



Source: authors (2024)

According to the racial distribution of pregnant women who were victims of sexual violence in the city of São Paulo, the white ethnicity was the most prominent (1,473  $\approx$  41.81%), followed by mixed race (1,312  $\approx$  37.25%), black (574  $\approx$  16.30%), yellow (39  $\approx$  1.10%), and the least represented were indigenous women (38  $\approx$  1.08%). Some records were ignored or left blank, accounting for (74  $\approx$  2.10%) and (13  $\approx$  0.37%), respectively (Figure 2).

Figure 2 - Sexual Violence in Pregnant Women by Race SINAN and Gestational Age  $\,$ 



Source: authors (2024)


Furthermore, it is evident that ethnic-racial minorities, particularly black women, have a social vulnerability profile compared to other ethnicities, as described in the study by Garcia and Silva (2018). The study indicates that black women account for 70% of the victims of violence in Brazil. However, in the present study, the percentage of pregnant women who suffered sexual violence was higher among white women compared to the other ethnicities analyzed. This data may be related to underreporting due to inequality in access to and the quality of prenatal care. It is important to highlight that public prenatal care services contribute to the identification of women experiencing violence during pregnancy.

In the analysis of the education level of these pregnant women, the majority had completed high school, corresponding to  $(1,089 \approx 30.86\%)$ , followed by women with incomplete high



school (438  $\approx$  12.42%), incomplete higher education (428  $\approx$  12.13%), completed higher education (397  $\approx$  11.25%), incomplete 5th to 8th grade (313  $\approx$  8.87%), elementary school (190  $\approx$  5.39%), completed 4th grade (63  $\approx$  1.79%), incomplete 1st to 4th grade (59  $\approx$  1.68%), and illiterate women (15  $\approx$  0.43%). Finally, 431  $\approx$  12.22% of the records had missing education data, and 106  $\approx$  3% were left blank (Figure 3).

Figure 3 - Sexual Violence in Pregnant Women by Education Level and Gestational  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Age}}$ 




Source: authors (2024)

Regarding education level, the majority of pregnant women who suffered sexual abuse had low education levels. According to Viellas *et al.* (2013),<sup>12</sup> The main explanations for the association between violence and the formal education of pregnant women highlight that lower levels of education may create difficulties in interpersonal relationships between partners, due to the interference in resolving daily adversities, leading to violent episodes such as sexual assault.

Regarding the gestational age variable, cases of violence were identified, with the majority occurring in the first trimester (2,552  $\approx$  72.35%), followed by the second trimester (598  $\approx$  16.95%), and lastly, the third trimester (247  $\approx$  6.99%). The percentage of cases with unknown gestational age was 132, corresponding to 3.74%. This information aligns with the study by Sanchez *et al.* (2023), <sup>13</sup> in which the majority of violence against pregnant women occurred in the first trimester (Figure 4).

Figure 4 - Sexual Violence in Pregnant Women by Gestational Age by Year



Source: authors (2024)

To delimit the areas in the city of São Paulo with the highest notifications of sexual violence against pregnant women, the variable "Regional Municipality of Occurrence" from the Tabnet São Paulo database was used. However, the available data proved inconclusive for any critical analysis, as more than 70% of the notifications from July 2015 to June 2024 had the location fields marked as "Blank" (Table 1). Therefore, the variable "Health Coordination of Occurrence," also present in Tabnet São Paulo, was used. The data did not differ from the previous collection, with the vast majority of notifications having the location field left blank (Figure 5).


**Table 1** - Cases of Sexual Violence Against Pregnant Women by Regional Municipality of Occurrence, (SINAN, 2015-2024)

ARICANDUVAIFORMOSA/CARRÃO  BUTANTÃ  53 (5.29%)  CAMPO LIMPO  49 (4.9%)  CAPELA DO SOCORRO  47 (4.7%)  CASA VERDE/CACHOEIRINHA  34 (3.4%)  CIDADE ADEMAR  24 (2.4%)  CIDADE TIRADENTES  40 (4%)  ERMELINO MATARAZZO  14 (1.4%)  FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA  29 (2.9%)  GUAIANASES  17 (1.7%)  IPIRANGA  41 (4.1%)  ITAIM PAULISTA  43 (4.3%)  ITAQUERA  52 (5.19%)  JACANA/TREMEMBÉ  10 (1%)  JABAQUARA  27 (2.7%)  LAPA  58 (5.79%)  M'BOI MIRIM  37 (3.7%)  MOOCA  58 (5.79%)  PARELHEIROS  23 (2.3%)  PENHA  25 (2.5%)  PERUS  16 (1.6%)  PINHEIROS  41 (4.1%)  PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ  13 (1.3%)  SANTO AMARO  10 (1%)  SÂO MATEUS  30 (3.3%)  VILA MARIANAR  10 (1%)  VILA MARIANIA  10 (1%)  VILA PRUDENTE  2 (0.2%)  Unclassified + Blank  25 (2.3%)  Total Notifications	Regional Prefecture of Occurrence	Notifications (2015-2024) and (%) Relative Frequency of Notifications with Location (28.4% of Total Notifications)
CAMPO LIMPO         49 (4.9%)           CAPELA DO SOCORRO         47 (4.7%)           CASA VERDE/CACHOEIRINHA         34 (3.4%)           CIDADE ADEMAR         24 (2.4%)           CIDADE TIRADENTES         40 (4%)           ERMELINO MATARAZZO         14 (1.4%)           FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA         29 (2.9%)           GUAIANASES         17 (1.7%)           IPIRANGA         41 (4.1%)           ITAMI PAULISTA         43 (4.3%)           ITAQUERA         52 (5.19%)           JACANA/TREMEMBÉ         10 (1%)           JABAQUARA         27 (2.7%)           LAPA         58 (5.79%)           M'BOI MIRIM         37 (3.7%)           MOOCA         58 (5.79%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÁO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)	ARICANDUVA/FORMOSA/CARRÃO	13 (1.3%)
CAPELA DO SOCORRO  47 (4.7%)  CASA VERDEICACHOEIRINHA 34 (3.4%) CIDADE ADEMAR 24 (2.4%) CIDADE TIRADENTES 40 (4%) ERMELINO MATARAZZO 14 (1.4%) FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA 29 (2.9%) GUAIANASES 17 (1.7%) IPIRANGA 41 (4.1%) ITAIM PAULISTA 43 (4.3%) ITAQUERA 52 (5.19%) JACANA/TREMEMBÉ 10 (1%) JABAQUARA 27 (2.7%) LAPA 58 (5.79%) M'BOI MIRIM 37 (3.7%) MOOCA 58 (5.79%) PARELHEIROS 23 (2.3%) PENHA 25 (2.5%) PERUS 16 (1.6%) PINHEIROS 41 (4.1%) PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ 13 (1.3%) SANTANA/TUCURUVI 23 (2.3%) SANTO AMARO 10 (1%) SÃO MATEUS 23 (2.3%) SANTO AMARO 10 (1%) SÂO MIGUEL PAULISTA 39 (3.9%) SANTO AMARO 10 (1%) SÂO MIGUEL PAULISTA 39 (3.9%) SAPOPEMBA 21 (2.1%) SÉ 79 (7.89%) VILA MARIANAI 10 (1%) VILA PRUDENTE 20 (2.2%) Unclassified + Blank 28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	BUTANTÃ	53 (5.29%)
CASA VERDE/CACHOEIRINHA         34 (3.4%)           CIDADE ADEMAR         24 (2.4%)           CIDADE TIRADENTES         40 (4%)           ERMELINO MATARAZZO         14 (1.4%)           FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA         29 (2.9%)           GUAIANASES         17 (1.7%)           IPIRANGA         41 (4.1%)           ITAMI PAULISTA         43 (4.3%)           ITAQUERA         52 (5.19%)           JACANA/TREMEMBÉ         10 (1%)           JABAQUARA         27 (2.7%)           LAPA         58 (5.79%)           M'BOI MIRIM         37 (3.7%)           MOOCA         58 (5.79%)           PARELHEIROS         23 (2.3%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SAO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)		49 (4.9%)
CIDADE TIRADENTES         24 (2.4%)           CIDADE TIRADENTES         40 (4%)           ERMELINO MATARAZZO         14 (1.4%)           FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA         29 (2.9%)           GUAIANASES         17 (1.7%)           IPIRANGA         41 (4.1%)           ITAIM PAULISTA         43 (4.3%)           ITAQUERA         52 (5.19%)           JACANA/TREMEMBÉ         10 (1%)           JABAQUARA         27 (2.7%)           LAPA         58 (5.79%)           M'BOI MIRIM         37 (3.7%)           MOCCA         58 (5.79%)           PARELHEIROS         23 (2.3%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIANA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)	CAPELA DO SOCORRO	47 (4.7%)
CIDADE TIRADENTES         40 (4%)           ERMELINO MATARAZZO         14 (1.4%)           FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA         29 (2.9%)           GUAIANASES         17 (1.7%)           IPIRANGA         41 (4.1%)           ITAIM PAULISTA         43 (4.3%)           ITAQUERA         52 (5.19%)           JACANA/TREMEMBÉ         10 (1%)           JABAQUARA         27 (2.7%)           LAPA         58 (5.79%)           M'BOI MIRIM         37 (3.7%)           MOCCA         58 (5.79%)           PARELHEIROS         23 (2.3%)           PENHA         25 (2.5%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÂO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIANA         21 (2.1%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.8% of Total Notifications)	CASA VERDE/CACHOEIRINHA	34 (3.4%)
ERMELINO MATARAZZO         14 (1.4%)           FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA         29 (2.9%)           GUAIANASES         17 (1.7%)           IPIRANGA         41 (4.1%)           ITAIM PAULISTA         43 (4.3%)           ITAQUERA         52 (5.19%)           JACANA/TREMEMBÉ         10 (1%)           JABAQUARA         27 (2.7%)           LAPA         58 (5.79%)           M'BOI MIRIM         37 (3.7%)           MOOCA         58 (5.79%)           PARELHEIROS         23 (2.3%)           PENHA         25 (2.5%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANA/TUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           VILA MARIAN/ILA GUIL HERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	CIDADE ADEMAR	24 (2.4%)
FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA   29 (2.9%)   GUAIANASES   17 (1.7%)   IPIRANGA   41 (4.1%)   ITAIM PAULISTA   43 (4.3%)   ITAQUERA   52 (5.19%)   JACANA/TREMEMBÉ   10 (1%)   JABAQUARA   27 (2.7%)   LAPA   58 (5.79%)   M'BOI MIRIM   37 (3.7%)   MOOCA   58 (5.79%)   PARELHEIROS   23 (2.3%)   PENHA   25 (2.5%)   PERUS   16 (1.6%)   PINHEIROS   41 (4.1%)   PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ   13 (1.3%)   SANTANA/TUCURUVI   23 (2.3%)   SANTO AMARO   10 (1%)   SAO MATEUS   23 (2.3%)   SAO MIGUEL PAULISTA   39 (3.9%)   SAPOPEMBA   21 (2.1%)   SÉ   79 (7.89%)   VILA MARIANA   10 (1%)   VILA PRUDENTE   2 (0.2%)   Unclassified + Blank   28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	CIDADE TIRADENTES	40 (4%)
GUAIANASES   17 (1.7%)     IPIRANGA   41 (4.1%)     ITAIM PAULISTA   43 (4.3%)     ITAQUERA   52 (5.19%)     JACANA/TREMEMBÉ   10 (1%)     JABAQUARA   27 (2.7%)     LAPA   58 (5.79%)     M'BOI MIRIM   37 (3.7%)     MOOCA   58 (5.79%)     PARELHEIROS   23 (2.3%)     PENHA   25 (2.5%)     PERUS   16 (1.6%)     PINHEIROS   41 (4.1%)     PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ   13 (1.3%)     SANTANA/TUCURUVI   23 (2.3%)     SANTO AMARO   10 (1%)     SÃO MATEUS   23 (2.3%)     SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA   39 (3.9%)     SAPOPEMBA   21 (2.1%)     SÉ   79 (7.89%)     VILA MARIANA   10 (1%)     VILA MARIANA   10 (1%)     VILA PRUDENTE   20 (2.2%)     Unclassified + Blank   28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	ERMELINO MATARAZZO	14 (1.4%)
IPIRANGA	FREGUESIA/BRASILÂNDIA	29 (2.9%)
ITAIM PAULISTA	GUAIANASES	17 (1.7%)
ITAQUERA   52 (5.19%)   JACANA/TREMEMBÉ   10 (1%)   JABAQUARA   27 (2.7%)   LAPA   58 (5.79%)   M*BOI MIRIM   37 (3.7%)   M*OOCA   58 (5.79%)   PARELHEIROS   23 (2.3%)   PENHA   25 (2.5%)   PERUS   16 (1.6%)   PINHEIROS   41 (4.1%)   PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ   13 (1.3%)   SANTANA/TUCURUVI   23 (2.3%)   SANTANA/TUCURUVI   23 (2.3%)   SANTO AMARO   10 (1%)   SÃO MATEUS   23 (2.3%)   SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA   39 (3.9%)   SAPOPEMBA   21 (2.1%)   SÉ   79 (7.89%)   VILA MARIANA   10 (1%)   VILA PRUDENTE   2 (0.2%)   Unclassified + Blank   28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	IPIRANGA	41 (4.1%)
JACANA/TREMEMBÉ   10 (1%)     JABAQUARA   27 (2.7%)     LAPA   58 (5.79%)     M'BOI MIRIM   37 (3.7%)     MOOCA   58 (5.79%)     PARELHEIROS   23 (2.3%)     PENHA   25 (2.5%)     PERUS   16 (1.6%)     PINHEIROS   41 (4.1%)     PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ   13 (1.3%)     SANTANA/TUCURUVI   23 (2.3%)     SANTO AMARO   10 (1%)     SÃO MATEUS   23 (2.3%)     SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA   39 (3.9%)     SAPOPEMBA   21 (2.1%)     SÉ   79 (7.89%)     VILA MARIANA   10 (1%)     VILA PRUDENTE   2 (0.2%)     Unclassified + Blank   28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	ITAIM PAULISTA	43 (4.3%)
JABAQUARA   27 (2.7%)   LAPA   58 (5.79%)   M'BOI MIRIM   37 (3.7%)   MOOCA   58 (5.79%)   MOOCA   41 (4.1%)   MOO	ITAQUERA	52 (5.19%)
LAPA       58 (5.79%)         M'BOI MIRIM       37 (3.7%)         MOOCA       58 (5.79%)         PARELHEIROS       23 (2.3%)         PENHA       25 (2.5%)         PERUS       16 (1.6%)         PINHEIROS       41 (4.1%)         PIRITUBAJJARAGUÁ       13 (1.3%)         SANTANAITUCURUVI       23 (2.3%)         SANTO AMARO       10 (1%)         SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA       39 (3.9%)         SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA       39 (3.9%)         SAPOPEMBA       21 (2.1%)         SÉ       79 (7.89%)         VILA MARIANA       10 (1%)         VILA MARIANA       10 (1%)         VILA PRUDENTE       2 (0.2%)         Unclassified + Blank       28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	JACANA/TREMEMBÉ	10 (1%)
M'BOI MIRIM         37 (3.7%)           MOOCA         58 (5.79%)           PARELHEIROS         23 (2.3%)           PENHA         25 (2.5%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBAJJARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANAJTUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	JABAQUARA	27 (2.7%)
MOOCA   58 (5.79%)	LAPA	58 (5.79%)
PARELHEIROS         23 (2.3%)           PENHA         25 (2.5%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANA/TUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	M'BOI MIRIM	37 (3.7%)
PENHA         25 (2.5%)           PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANA/TUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	MOOCA	58 (5.79%)
PERUS         16 (1.6%)           PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANA/TUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	PARELHEIROS	23 (2.3%)
PINHEIROS         41 (4.1%)           PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANA/TUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIA/VILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	PENHA	25 (2.5%)
PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ         13 (1.3%)           SANTANA/TUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIA/VILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	PERUS	16 (1.6%)
SANTANAITUCURUVI         23 (2.3%)           SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	PINHEIROS	41 (4.1%)
SANTO AMARO         10 (1%)           SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	PIRITUBA/JARAGUÁ	13 (1.3%)
SÃO MATEUS         23 (2.3%)           SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	SANTANA/TUCURUVI	23 (2.3%)
SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA         39 (3.9%)           SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	SANTO AMARO	10 (1%)
SAPOPEMBA         21 (2.1%)           SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIAVILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	SÃO MATEUS	23 (2.3%)
SÉ         79 (7.89%)           VILA MARIA/VILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	SÃO MIGUEL PAULISTA	39 (3.9%)
VILA MARIA/VILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	SAPOPEMBA	21 (2.1%)
VILA MARIA/VILA GUILHERME         30 (3%)           VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	SÉ	79 (7.89%)
VILA MARIANA         10 (1%)           VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	VILA MARIA/VILA GUILHERME	
VILA PRUDENTE         2 (0.2%)           Unclassified + Blank         28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)	VILA MARIANA	
,	VILA PRUDENTE	
Total Notifications 3523	Unclassified + Blank	28 + 2494 (71.6% of Total Notifications)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Notifications	3523

Source: authors (2024)



Figure 5 - Sexual Violence Reported in Different Health Coordination Regions



Source: authors (2024)

This study has some limitations that need to be highlighted. Firstly, the high rate of ignored or blank fields in the TabNet records, especially regarding geographic location and some sociodemographic data such as education and race/ethnicity, negatively impacts the accuracy of the analyses. This underreporting, combined with inequality in access to healthcare services, particularly in more vulnerable areas, may have hindered the ability to accurately define the epidemiological profile of pregnant women who suffered sexual violence. Additionally, the retrospective and documentary nature of the study limits the collection of additional data that could enrich the analysis, such as contextual factors of violence or maternal and neonatal outcomes. Finally, the lack of qualitative data prevents a deeper understanding of the victims' experiences, their interactions with healthcare services, and the reasons that may have contributed to underreporting.

#### **5 CONCLUSION**

Based on the results presented, it can be concluded that the cases of sexual violence against pregnant women in São Paulo were most prevalent in the age group of 20 to 34 years, with 2,157 cases (61.22%). The majority of victims were white (41.81%), followed by mixed-race (37.25%) and black (16.30%), indicating a possible underreporting among ethnic minorities. Regarding education, 30.86% of the pregnant women had completed high school, while 15.22% of the records were incomplete or ignored. Concerning gestational age, the majority of occurrences (72.35%) took place in the first trimester of pregnancy, highlighting a critical period for maternal and fetal health, which may negatively impact prenatal care and gestational outcomes.

These results emphasize the need for public policies focused on protecting pregnant women, with an emphasis on improving the identification of cases and ensuring equal access to healthcare services for all women, regardless of sociodemographic factors. The study also highlights the need for greater rigor in data collection and completion of notifications, which is essential for the formulation of more effective strategies to address violence against pregnant women.

# **REFERENCES**

1. Krug EG et al. World report on violence and health. Geneva, Wolrd Health Organization, 2002. 380p.

2. Carneiro JF, Valongueiro S, Ludemir AB, Araújo TVB. Physical violence by an intimate partner and the inappropriate use of prenatal care services among women in Northeastern Brazil. Ver. Bras. Epidemiol. 2016 Apr; 19(02). DOI: 10.1590/1980-5497201600020003.

- 3. World Health Organization. Addressing violence Against women and achieving the Millennium Development Goes. Geneva, Switzerland. WHO Press; 2005. 51p.
- neva, Switzerland. WHO Press; 2005. 51p.
  4. Defilipo EC, Chagas PSC, Ribeiro LC. Violence Against pregnant women and associated factors in the city of Governador Valadares. Ver. Saúde Pública. 2020; 54. Dec. DOI 10.11606/s1518-8787.2020054002491.
- 5. Audi CAF, Segall-Corrêa AM, Santiago SM, Andrade MG, Pèrez-Escamila R. Violência doméstica na gravidez: prevalência e fatores associados. Ver. Saúde Pública. 2008 Oct; 42(5). DOI: 10.1590/S0034-89102008005000041.
- 6. Dunn LL, Oths KS. Prenatal Predictors of intimate Partner Abuse. J. Obstetric, Gynecologic&Neonatal Nursing. 2004 Jan; 33(1):54-63. DOI: 10.1177/0884217503261080.
- 7. Franco MPNS, Silva FD, Assis ALO, Frigini HF, Traverzim MAS, Drezett J. Sexual violence during pregnancy: cross-sectional study ith women in puerperium. J. Hum Growth Dev. 2022 Oct; 32(3):331-340. DOI: 10.36311/jhgd.v32.13786.
- 8. Shamu S, Abrahams N, Temmerman M, Musekiwa A, Zarowsky C. A systematic review of African studies on intimate partner violence against pregnant women: prevalence and risk factors. PLoS One. 2011 Mar;6(3):e17591. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017591.
  9. Martinez GM, Daniels K. Fertility of Men and Women Aged
- 9. Martinez GM, Daniels K. Fertility of Men and Women Aged 15-49 in the United States: National Survey of Family Growth, 2015-2019. Natl Health Stat Report. 2023 Jan; (179):1-22.
- 10. Garcia LP, Silva GDM. Violência por parceiro intimo: perfil dos atendimentos em serviços de urgência e emergência nas capitais dos estados brasileiros, 2014. Cad. Saúde Pública. 2018; 34(4). DOI: 10.1590/0102-311X00062317.
- 11. Óliveira SIM, Saraiva COPO, França DF, Júnior MAF, Lima LHM, Souza NL. Syphilis Notifications and the Triggering Processes for Vertical Transmission: A Cross-Sectional Study. 2020 Feb; 17(3):984. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17030984.
- 12. Viellas EF, Gama SGN, Carvalho ML, Pinto LW. Fatores associados à agressão física em gestantes e os desfechos negativos no recém-nascido. J. Pediatr. 2013 Feb; 89(1). DOI: 10.1016/j.jped.2013.02.013.
- 13. Garcia LP, Silva GDM. Violência por parceiro íntimo: perfil dos atendimentos em serviços de urgência e emergência nas capitais dos estados brasileiros, 2014. Cad. Saúde Pública. 2018; 34(4). DOI: 10.1590/0102-311X00062317.

