

Brazilian Journal of Global Health Revista Brasileira de Saúde Global

# Handgrip strength predicts physical and psychological domains of quality of life, but not social and environmental domains

Gabriella Mayumi Tanaka<sup>1,2</sup>, Fernando Mateus Santos<sup>2</sup>, Lucas Melo Neves<sup>2,3</sup>, Saulo Gil<sup>1,2,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Programa de Atividades Esportivas Extensivas à Comunidade - PAEC - Santo Amaro University, Rua Professor Enéas de Sigueira Neto, 340 - São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Santo Amaro University, Post-graduate Program in Health Sciences, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Bipolar Disorder Program (PROMAN), Department of Psychiatry, University of São Paulo Medical School, São Paulo, Brazil.

<sup>4</sup>Applied Physiology and Nutrition Research Group - Center of Lifestyle Medicine, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP, BR.

# ABSTRACT

# OBJECTIVE

This study investigated the association between handgrip strength with quality of life in different domains of quality of life.

# **METHODS**

This is a cross-sectional study. Participants underwent a semi-structured interview to record age, sex, marital status, ethnicity, family income). Also, individuals performed the handgrip strength test.

# RESULTS

Two hundred individuals were evaluated. Overall, the sample comprised individuals of both sexes (79% female) aged  $52\pm17$  years. High strength group showed high values of quality of life in physical (71.5 vs. 64.1 a.u.; 95%CI 2.0 to 12.6; P=0.0066) and psychological domain in comparison with low strength group (72.0 vs. 67.4 a.u.; 95%CI -0.1 to 9.3; P=0.0214). No between-group differences were observed for quality of life in the social and environmental domains (both P>0.05). Significant positive associations were found between handgrip strength and quality of life in physical and psychological domain (R=0.27, P<0.0001 and R=0.17, P=0.0117, respectively). No significant association between handgrip strength and quality of life in social and environment was observed. Adjusted linear regression model showed a positive association between handgrip strength and quality of life in physical (B=0.38; 95%CI 0.06 to 0.71; P=0.022) domain. In contrast, handgrip strength did not show to be an independent predictor of quality of life in social and environment domains (both P>0.05).

# CONCLUSION

These findings reveal handgrip strength is a significant and independent predictor of quality of life in physical and psychological domains, but not in social and environmental domains.

# DESCRIPTORS

Quality of life, General health status, Strength.

# Corresponding author:

Saulo Gil.

Programa de Atividades Esportivas Extensivas à Comunidade - PAEC - Universidade Santo Amaro\_UNISA. Rua Professor Enéas de Siqueira Neto, 340 - São Paulo, SP, Brasil. E-mail: saulosgil@hotmail.com

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9050-0073

**Copyright:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.



#### INTRODUCTION

Quality of life is a holistic and multidimensional measure that considers a wide range of physical, psychological, social, and environmental factors that impact an individual's well-being and life satisfaction<sup>1</sup>. Quality of life is a fundamental concept in fields such as healthcare, psychology, and social sciences, and it can be assessed and evaluated using a variety of tools and indicators.

The WHOQOL-BREF (World Health Organization Quality of Life - Brief Version) is a concise, easier and widely used instrument for assessing the quality of life in both clinical and research settings<sup>2</sup>. It includes 26 items and covers four main domains of quality of life: i) physical Health [it assesses an individual's physical well-being, including questions about pain, energy, mobility, sleep, and activities of daily living]; ii) psychological health [it evaluates an individual's mental and emotional well-being, including questions about self-esteem, body image, negative feelings, positive feelings, and thinking, learning, memory, and concentration]; iii) social relationships [it measures an individual's social interactions and relationships, including questions about personal relationships, social support, and sexual activity] and; iv) environment [it covers an individual's perception of their environment, including questions about financial resources, information, safety, accessibility to health care and social services, pollution, noise, and transportation]. Since good quality-of-life scores should be desired throughout life and it can be measured, to identifying predictive measures to quality of life may be useful for health professionals in clinical and non-clinical settings.

Handgrip strength is a simple, direct, easy handling, low-cost measurement commonly utilized in the clinical setting as an indicator of the general health status in individuals across a wide age range<sup>3</sup>. The handgrip strength has shown to be related with distinct health outcomes such as age-related muscle loss (sarcopenia)<sup>4</sup>, mental disorders<sup>5-8</sup>, nutrition status<sup>9,10</sup>, chronic diseases such as diabetes<sup>11</sup> and, cardiovascular disease<sup>12</sup> and, all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular mortality<sup>13,14</sup>. In this scenario, it is reasonable to assume that handgrip strength may also be associated with guality-of-life scores, especially in specific domains like physical and psychological domains. In contrast, handgrip strength may not be related to social and environmental domains of the quality of life since these domains are related to an individual's social interactions and relationships and their perception of the environment around. Although previous studies support the association between handgrip strength and quality of life in physical and mental domains, the results are unclear regarding other domains<sup>15,17</sup> and, thus studies still are required. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the association between handgrip strength with quality of life in different domains of quality of life.

#### **METHODS**

#### Study design and participants

This is a cross-sectional study conducted in the University Santo Amaro (Sao Paulo, Brazil) between August 2023 and September 2023. Participants were recruited through social media and were invited to attend the university for assessments. Inclusion criteria were: (*i*) individuals aged over 17 years. Exclusion criteria were: (*i*) cognitive deficit that precluded the patient from reading and signing the informed consent form; (*ii*) people with disabilities; (*iii*) cancer in the past 5 years; (*iv*) inability to perform the physical tests; (*v*) prior diagnosis of muscle degenerative disease (e.g., myopathies, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis).

Participants underwent a semi-structured interview to re-

cord sociodemographic characteristic (i.e., age, sex, marital status, ethnicity, family income). Also, individuals performed the handgrip strength test in dominant arm.

This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Ethics Committee Approval Number: CAAE - 69886123.8.0000.0081; approval numbers: 6.231.001). All participants provided written informed consent before entering the study. This manuscript was reported according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)<sup>18</sup>.

# World Health Organization Quality of Life questionnaire (WHOQOL-bref)

Quality of life was assessed using the WHOQOL-bref<sup>19</sup>. This questionnaire consists of 26 questions, the first of which refers to quality of life in general, the second to satisfaction with one's own health and the rest are divided into the physical, psychological, social and environment domains. This instrument was validated for the Portuguese language<sup>20</sup> and it has been used for both healthy and chronic diseases populations<sup>21-23</sup>.

#### Handgrip Strength

Handgrip strength was assessed in the dominant side using a hand dynamometer (TKK 5101; Takei, Tokyo, JP). Participants were in the standing position with their shoulder adducted and neutrally rotated, posed their elbow extended at 180° as well as the forearm and wrist in a neutral position. Then, participants were instructed to grip the dynamometer with maximum strength in response to a voice command. Three attempts were performed with a 1 min rest after each one. The maximal grip strength was recorded and utilized for analysis.

For analysis, the participants were ranked according to handgrip strength scores and stratified into low handgrip strength or high handgrip strength group based on median values.

#### Statistical analyses

Data are presented as absolute (n) and relative (%) frequency, means ± standard deviation (SD). Data normality was determined via Shapiro-Wilk test and visually checked with histograms. Independent *t-tests* were performed to test possible between-group differences (High Strength vs. Low Strength) for all dependent variables (Quality of life - Physical Domain; Psychological Domain; Social Domain; Environment Domain). Pearson product-moment linear correlation was used to check the level of association between handgrip strength and quality of life for each domain.

Crude and adjusted linear regression models were utilized for verify possible associations between handgrip strength and quality of life for each domain. Linear regression models was adjusted by age ([< 60 and  $\geq$  60 years old], ethnicity [white, black and pardo], sex [male or female], family income [< US\$ 267.85, US\$ 267.85 to US\$ 535.70, US\$ 535.70 to US\$ 863.55 and > US\$ 863.55], and obesity (BMI<30 or BMI $\geq$ 30).. Beta coefficients were calculated along their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). Significance level was set at P  $\leq$  0.05. All analyses were performed in the statistical environment R (version 3.5.3; R Core Team 2020).

#### RESULTS

Two hundred individuals were evaluated. Overall, the sample comprised individuals of both sexes (79% female) aged 52±17 years. Frequency of ethnicity of individuals white, black or pardo (term used in Brazilian Portuguese, meaning "mixed ethnicity," according to the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics) were 70%, 28%, and 2%, respectively. Forty percent of the



participants showed obesity (i.e.,  $IMC > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ). Table 1 details demographic and clinical characteristics of the individuals.

Table 1. (	Characteristics	of 1	the	participants.
------------	-----------------	------	-----	---------------

Outcomes	n = 200			
Age, n (%)				
< 60 years old	145 (72%)			
≥ 60 years old	55 (28%)			
Sex, n (%)				
Female	158 (79%)			
Male	42 (21%)			
Marital status, n (%)				
Single	60 (30%)			
Married	92 (46%)			
Divorced	23 (12%)			
Widowed	25 (12%)			
Ethnicity, n (%)				
White	139 (70%)			
Black	55 (28%)			
Pardoa	6 (2%)			
Income*, n (%)				
< US\$ 267.85	84 (42%)			
US\$ 267.85 to US\$ 535.70	87 (44%)			
>US\$ 535.70 to US\$ 863.55	14 (7.0%)			
> US\$ 863.55	3 (1.0%)			
Chose not to report	12 (6.0%)			
Obesity, n (%)				
IMC < 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	79 (40%)			
$IMC \ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	121 (60%)			
Handgrip strength, mean (SD) 27 (				
n = number of subjects; a = Pardo	o is the exact			

term used in Brazilian Portuguese, meaning mixed ethnicity," according to the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics; IMC = Body Mass Index.

High strength group showed high values of quality of life in physical (71.5 vs. 64.1 a.u.; 95%Cl 2.0 to 12.6; P = 0.0066) and psychological domains in comparison with low strength group (72.0 vs. 67.4 a.u.; 95%Cl -0.1 to 9.3; P = 0.0214). There was not between-group differences observed for quality of life in the social and environmental domains (both P > 0.05). The Figure 1 details quality of life in each domain for high and low strength group.

Figure 1. Quality of life for physical (Panel A), psychological (Panel B), social (Panel C) and environment (Panel D) domains in according with handgrip strength levels.



Associations between handgrip strength and quality of life in each domain are shown in Figure 2. Significant positive associations were found between handgrip strength and quality of life in physical and psychological domain (R = 0.27, P < 0.0001 and R = 0.17, P = 0.0117, respectively) even after adjusted by cofounders. No significant association between handgrip strength and quality of life in social and environment was observed.

Figure 2. Scatter plot and Pearson's correlation coefficient for quality of life in each domain (physical domain [Panel A], psychological [Panel B], social [Panel C] and environment [Panel D] domains and handgrip strength levels.



Adjusted linear regression model showed a positive association between handgrip strength and quality of life in physical ( $\beta = 0.74$ ; 95%CI 0.37 to 1.11; P < 0.001), and psychological ( $\beta = 0.38$ ; 95%CI 0.06 to 0.71; P = 0.022) domain (Table 2). In contrast, handgrip strength did not show to be an independent predictor of quality of life in social and environment domains (both P >0.05).

 
 Table 2. Linear regression analyses of the association between handgrip strength and quality of life scores for each domain.

	Unadjusted model			Adjusted model <sup>a</sup>		
Outcome	в	95%IC	P value	в	95%IC	P value
Physical Domain (a.u.)	0.50	0.25 - 0.76	<0.001	0.74	0.37 - 1.	11 <b>&lt;0.001</b>
Psychological Domain (a.u.)	0.29	0.07 - 0.52	<0.001	0.38	0.06 - 0.	71 <b>0.022</b>
Social Domain (a.u.)	0.19	-0.10 - 0.47	0.196	0.20	-0.22 - 0	.62 0.343
Environment Domain (a.u.)	0.13	-0.08 - 0.33	0.216	0.12	-0.17 - 0	.40 0.422

a = Linear regression model was adjusted by age (< 60 and  $\ge$  60 years old), ethnicity (white, black and pardo), sex (male or female), family income (< US\$ 267.85, US\$ 267.85 to US\$ 535.70, US\$ 535.70 to US\$ 863.55 and > US\$ 863.55) and, obesity (BMI<30 or BMI $\ge$ 30).

#### DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to investigate the associations between handgrip strength with quality of life in different domains. Our main findings were: *i*) individuals with high handgrip strength display higher quality of life in physical and psychological domains than those with low values of handgrip strength; *ii*) handgrip strength showed to be significantly associated with quality of life in physical and psychological domains and *iii*) adjusted linear regression models revealed that handgrip strength is a significant and independent predictor of quality of life in physical and psychological domains, but not for social and environment domains. These results are in line with our a priori hypothesis that handgrip strength is related to the quality of life in specific domains.

Handgrip strength is widely recognized as an indicator of overall muscle strength, and it is associated with a variety of clinically relevant health outcomes<sup>3</sup> such as functionality, hospital length of stay and mortality in distinct populations<sup>24-26</sup>. In



this scenario, it reasonable to assume that handgrip strength may be a predictive measure of quality of life. However, it is noteworthy that quality of life consists of a multidimensional measure that considers a wide range of factors (i.e., physical, psychological, social, and environment) that impact an individual's well-being and life satisfaction<sup>1</sup> and, thus may imply different levels of association with handgrip strength.

Previous studies that investigated the association between handgrip strength and quality of life have shown controversial results<sup>15-17</sup>. While previous studies<sup>15-17</sup> have consistently demonstrated that grip strength is an independent predictor of quality of life in physical domain (i.e., physical functioning), the association between handgrip strength and mental, social and environmental domains of quality of life is not consensual. For instance, Sayers et al.<sup>17</sup> did not observe that handgrip strength is a significant and independent predictor of role emotional and social functioning domains of quality of life. In another study, authors demonstrated that handgrip strength is a predictive measure of environment domain of quality of life, but not for psychic and social domains<sup>16</sup>. These controversial results may be discussed in different perspectives such as different questionaries utilized to assess quality of life (European Quality of Life Scale-Five Dimensions [EQ-5D] vs. 36-Item Short Form Health Survey questionnaire [SF-36]) and different characteristics sample (e.g., age, ethnicity and income).

In the current study, we observed that individuals with high handgrip strength show higher scores of quality of life in physical and psychological domains. Also, the adjusted linear regression model revealed that handgrip strength is a significant predictor of quality of life in physical and psychological domains. These findings are in consonance with previous studies indicating that handgrip strength is associated with physical (i.e., disability)<sup>26</sup> and mental parameters (i.e., anxiety and depression)<sup>5-8</sup>. Taken together, these results reinforce the handgrip strength as an important predictive measure of health outcomes.

Regarding the association between handgrip strength with social relationships and environmental domains of the quality of life, we observe neither statistically significant difference in these specific domains of quality of life when participants were stratified by handgrip strength (high handgrip strength group vs. low handgrip strength group) nor significant associations between handgrip strength and quality of life in social relationships and environmental domains. These findings may be explained, at least partially, due to the social relationships and environmental domains refers to an individual's social interactions and relationships and their perception of the environment around<sup>2</sup>, respectively, which are unlikely influenced by handgrip levels.

This study is not free of limitations. First, this cross-sectional design does not allow causative inferences, and reversal causality (e.g., low handgrip strength as a consequence of worse quality of life) cannot be ruled out. Second, this is a small cohort and, thus our findings are limited to individuals with similar characteristics to those reported herein. Third, the use of questionnaire to assess quality of life is prone to recall bias.

In conclusion, handgrip strength is associated with quality of life in physical and psychological domains. Additionally, handgrip strength was shown to be an independent predictor of quality of life in physical and psychological domains, but not in social relationships and environmental domains. These findings reinforce handgrip strength as an important risk factor for health outcomes.

#### REFERENCES

1. Costanza R, Fisher B, Ali S, Beer C, Bond L, Boumans R, et al. Quality of life: An approach integrating opportunities,

human needs, and subjective well-being. Ecological Economics. 2007;61(2):267-76.

- 2. GROUP TW. WHOQOL-bref: introduction, administration, scoring and generic version of assessment. World Health Organization,; Geneva1996.
- 3. Bohannon RW. Grip Strength: An Indispensable Biomarker For Older Adults. Clinical interventions in aging. 2019;14:1681-91.
- 4. Abe T, Thiebaud RS, Loenneke JP. Age-related change in handgrip strength in men and women: is muscle quality a contributing factor? Age. 2016;38(1):28.
- Ishii S, Chang C, Tanaka T, Kuroda A, Tsuji T, Akishita M, et al. The Association between Sarcopenic Obesity and Depressive Symptoms in Older Japanese Adults. PLoS One. 2016;11(9):e0162898.
- Veronese N, Stubbs B, Trevisan C, Bolzetta F, De Rui M, Solmi M, et al. Poor Physical Performance Predicts Future Onset of Depression in Elderly People: Progetto Veneto Anziani Longitudinal Study. Phys Ther. 2017;97(6):659-68.
- McDowell CP, Gordon BR, Herring MP. Sex-related differences in the association between grip strength and depression: Results from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Exp Gerontol. 2018;104:147-52.
- Carvalho AF, Maes M, Solmi M, Brunoni AR, Lange S, Husain MI, et al. Is dynapenia associated with the onset and persistence of depressive and anxiety symptoms among older adults? Findings from the Irish longitudinal study on ageing. Aging & mental health. 2021;25(3):468-75.
- 9. Guerra RS, Fonseca I, Pichel F, Restivo MT, Amaral TF. Handgrip strength and associated factors in hospitalized patients. JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition. 2015;39(3):322-30.
- 10. Flood A, Chung A, Parker H, Kearns V, O'Sullivan TA. The use of hand grip strength as a predictor of nutrition status in hospital patients. Clinical nutrition. 2014;33(1):106-14.
- 11. Kunutsor SK, Isiozor NM, Khan H, Laukkanen JA. Handgrip strength-A risk indicator for type 2 diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational cohort studies. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews. 2021;37(2):e3365.
- Lawman HG, Troiano RP, Perna FM, Wang CY, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Associations of Relative Handgrip Strength and Cardiovascular Disease Biomarkers in U.S. Adults, 2011-2012. Am J Prev Med. 2016;50(6):677-83.
- Celis-Morales CA, Welsh P, Lyall DM, Steell L, Petermann F, Anderson J, et al. Associations of grip strength with cardiovascular, respiratory, and cancer outcomes and all cause mortality: prospective cohort study of half a million UK Biobank participants. BMJ. 2018;361:k1651.
- Lopez-Bueno R, Andersen LL, Koyanagi A, Nunez-Cortes R, Calatayud J, Casana J, et al. Thresholds of handgrip strength for all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular mortality: A systematic review with dose-response meta-analysis. Ageing research reviews. 2022;82:101778.
- Kang SY, Lim J, Park HS. Relationship between low handgrip strength and quality of life in Korean men and women. Qual Life Res. 2018;27(10):2571-80.
- Musalek C, Kirchengast S. Grip Strength as an Indicator of Health-Related Quality of Life in Old Age-A Pilot Study. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2017;14(12).
- 17. Sayer AA, Syddall HE, Martin HJ, Dennison EM, Roberts HC, Cooper C. Is grip strength associated with health-related quality of life? Findings from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. Age Ageing. 2006;35(4):409-15.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) State-



ment: guidelines for reporting observational studies. International journal of surgery. 2014;12(12):1495-9.

- 19. Development of the World Health Organization WHO-QOL-BREF quality of life assessment. The WHOQOL Group. Psychological medicine. 1998;28(3):551-8.
- Duarte SBR, Chaveiro N, de Freitas AR, Barbosa MA, Camey S, Fleck MP, et al. Validation of the WHOQOL-Bref instrument in Brazilian sign language (Libras). Qual Life Res. 2021;30(1):303-13.
- 21. Abbasi-Ghahramanloo A, Soltani-Kermanshahi M, Mansori K, Khazaei-Pool M, Sohrabi M, Baradaran HR, et al. Comparison of SF-36 and WHOQoL-BREF in Measuring Quality of Life in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Int J Gen Med. 2020;13:497-506.
- 22. Gagliardi J, Brettschneider C, Konig HH. Health-related quality of life of refugees: a systematic review of studies using the WHOQOL-Bref instrument in general and clinical refugee populations in the community setting. Confl

Health. 2021;15(1):44.

- Goes M, Lopes M, Maroco J, Oliveira H, Fonseca C. Psychometric properties of the WHOQOL-BREF(PT) in a sample of elderly citizens. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2021;19(1):146.
- 24. Mendes J, Azevedo A, Amaral TF. Handgrip strength at admission and time to discharge in medical and surgical inpatients. JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition. 2014;38(4):481-8.
- 25. Zhuang CL, Zhang FM, Li W, Wang KH, Xu HX, Song CH, et al. Associations of low handgrip strength with cancer mortality: a multicentre observational study. Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle. 2020;11(6):1476-86.
- Felicio DC, Diz JBM, Pereira DS, Queiroz BZ, Silva JP, Moreira BS, et al. Handgrip strength is associated with, but poorly predicts, disability in older women with acute low back pain: A 12-month follow-up study. Maturitas. 2017;104:19-23.

