Rev Bras Saúde Global 2021; 01:02 Received in: 02/10/2021 Accepted in: 02/21/2021 72



# What is the level of post-traumatic stress disorder experienced by Italian University students during the Covid-19 pandemic? Result of an online survey

Tiziana NANIA1, Rosario CARUSO1, Claudia Aparecida DE MORAIS1, Federica DELLAFIORE1\*

<sup>1</sup>Health Professions Research and Development Unit, IRCCS Policlinic San Donato (MI)

## **ABSTRACT**

#### **OBJECTIVE**

To date, level of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) symptoms experienced from university students during the peak of Covid-19 in Italy is until under investigated. Therefore, this study aims to describe PTDS related to the Covid-19 outbreak among Italian university students.

#### **METHODS**

A multicentre cross-sectional study was conducted, involving convenience and consecutive sampling of Italians University students. A self-reported web questionnaire on the on-line platform Qualtrics®, was used to data collect, in March and April 2020.

## **RESULTS**

Overall, 720 Italian University students participated to this study. The sample are major male (80.7%) with an average mean of 23.52 years. The results of data analysis highlighted the important level of PTSD experienced from Italian University students during the Covid-19 outbreak, especially by female students that presented higher levels of PTSD. Additionally, no differences were found between students in healthcare field and not in healthcare field.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The results showed, for the first time, the level of PTSD experienced by Italian Universities students, triggered by the psychological consequences of the health emergency Covid-19. This situation requires public health interventions aimed at preventing the early development of such mental disorders, which negatively affect the growth of future generations.

#### **DESCRIPTORS**

University students, PTSD, Covid-19.

## **RESUMO**

### **OBJETIVO**

As mudanças sem precedentes na vida diária causadas pelas restrições do Covid-19 tiveram muitos efeitos psicológicos e adversos, não apenas aos pacientes, mas também na população em geral, incluindo os estudantes universitários. Até o momento, pouco se sabe sobre os sintomas do transtorno de estresse pós-traumático (PTSD - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder) experimentados pelos estudantes universitários durante o pico de Covid-19 na Itália e, especialmente, sobre seus fatores de risco e proteção. Este estudo tem como objetivo descrever o PTSD relacionado ao surto de Covid-19 entre estudantes universitários italianos.

# **MÉTODOS**

Foi realizado um estudo multicêntrico transversal e observacional. A amostra foi realizada por conveniência com participantes estudantes universitários italianos. A coleta de dados ocorreu por meio da aplicação online dos instrumentos, utilizando o software Qualtrics®, em março e abril de 2020.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56242/globalhealth;2021;1;2;72-77



## **RESULTADOS**

No geral, 720 estudantes universitários italianos (19,3% mulheres, idade media = 23,52 anos) foram inseridos. A análise de dados destacou o nível importante de PTSD experimentado por estudantes universitários italianos durante o surto de Covid-19. Em particular, se nota que as estudantes do sexo feminino acusaram níveis mais elevados de PTSD, ao passo que não foram encontradas diferenças entre os estudantes formados na área de saúde e aqueles não na área de saúde.

### **CONCLUSÃO**

Os resultados evidenciaram a presença de transtorno de estresse pós-traumático em universitários italianos, desencadeado pelas consequências psicológicas da emergência sanitária Covid-19. Essa situação requer intervenções de saúde pública direcionadas para prevenir o desenvolvimento precoce de tais transtornos mentais, que impactam negativamente o crescimento das gerações futuras.

#### **DESCRITORES**

Universitários, PTSD, Covid-19.

## Corresponding author:

Federica Dellafiore

Health Professions Research and Development Unit. IRCCS Policlinico San Donato Via Agadir, San Donato Milanese, Milan, Italy, E-mail: (federica.dellafiore@grupposandonato.it) ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8220-8347

**Copyright:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Covid-19 pandemic, started in Wuhan (Hubei, China) in December of 2020, is quickly become a worldwide public health emergency<sup>1,2</sup>. At the beginning January 2020, Covid-19 started to spread in Italy, constrained the Italian government to adopt restrictive measures to contain the spread of the virus. Accordingly, the closing of schools and all on-site university services, restrictions on transportation, privileging the home office and suspending activities that were not primary needs<sup>3,4</sup> are unprecedented changed the daily life of all populations. Consequently, many psychological and adverse effects are happened on the general population<sup>5</sup>, including university students<sup>5-7</sup>.

As a consequences of Covid-19 spread, a rapid and profound modification of the university program was applied. The change in learning from face-to-face to online, the use of e-mails as a regular means of communication, the use of university intranets to communicate<sup>8</sup> had contributed to increase the global psychological suffering in university students<sup>6</sup>. Specifically, quarantine or isolation imposed during the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in cognitive distress, negative emotions, and aggression due to fear of COVID-19, reducing sleep quality or a feeling of drowsiness<sup>9,10</sup>. At this regard, almost 24.9% of university students in China reported symptoms of anxiety<sup>11</sup>, and 23.3% of them referred depressive symptoms<sup>10</sup>.

Recent evidences discovered that PTSD is exhibited by a range of 30.8%<sup>12</sup> to 66.9%<sup>10</sup> of Chinese university students, because of Covid-19 outbreak. Some experts have proposed different hypotheses that the development of PTSD depends on personal characteristics and investigated possible measures to mitigate negative emotions<sup>13</sup>. In the United States, the National Institute of Mental Health monitors about 5.2 million Americans between 18 and 54 years old (or 3.5% of people in this age group) with PTSD. In addition to the two common negative emotions, PTSD symptoms were also reported with 16.6% of 1,081 university students<sup>10, 14</sup>.

Studies indicate that women are much more likely to develop PTSD after exposure to trauma, and other categories of particular risk are children, adolescents and first responders themselves<sup>15</sup>. PTSD rates also depend heavily on the event that produced the trauma. For example, PTSD can develop in 2% of

survivors after a natural event such as a tornado, in 28% of people involved in a mass terrorist attack, in 29% of survivors and relatives of victims of air disasters<sup>16,17</sup>. Studies on the spread of the PTSD were also carried out in the case of wars in the former Yugoslavia, the terrorist attack on the two towers in New York, the conflicts in Iraq, and in the event of natural disasters<sup>18,19</sup>.

Therefore, we believe that university students experienced symptoms of PTSD during the peak of COVID-19 in Italy (March-April 2020). However, to date, level of PTSD symptoms experienced from university students during the peak of Covid-19 in Italy is until under investigated. In this sense, a transnational research is necessary, and it would be worthwhile to deepen the knowledge about the psychological mechanisms necessary for the populations of university students to reach the welfare status, despite experiencing a stressful context such as that determined by the Covid-19 Pandemic. According to these premises, this study aims to describe the level of PTSD related to the Covid-19 outbreak among Italian university students.

## **METHOD**

## Study Design

This study has a cross-sectional observational design.

#### Data collection and analysis

The study conducted involved a consecutive convenience sampling of Italian university students in different regions of Italy. Specifically, the inclusion criteria where respondents are (a) university students, (b) over 18, (c) willingness to participate in the study, (d) read and understand Italian, and (e) live in Italy.

The data collection was performed to evaluate a self-administered web questionnaire during phase one of the Covid-19 outbreak in Italy. It was administered in March and April 2020, months when the Covid-19 outbreak peaked in Italy. The choice to carry out a web questionnaire was based on ease of use considering the respondent's perspective, such as the adaptive layout to fit the user's device to answer (cell phone, lap-top, etc.), and considering that the connection with the internet is often available to the public. People can respond more freely



than they would with a telephone or paper survey, and there is no need for data entry, as the online form directly collects responses from participants and exports them effortlessly as a data set. The authors suggested that the participants needed about 20 minutes to complete the survey.

#### Data collection instruments

Data collection was performed using three different questionnaires. Firstly, a sociodemographic questionnaire was applied, in order to detect the sociodemographic characteristics of the recruited university students, such as age, sex (male, female), marital status (single or married), nationality (Italian, other), the specific region of residence during the outbreak Covid-19, such as Islands, north, center or south. In addition, the specific origin for the "red zone" during the Covid-19 outbreak, the academic degree (students in the health field or not) and educational background (basic or post-basic) were collected. Secondly, Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) (Pietrantonio et al., 2003; Weiss, 2007) was used to assess the level of traumatic event disorders (PTSD). It is composed by 22 self-report items aimed to identify a specific stressful life event and then indicate how distressed or troubled they have been during the past seven days for each "difficulty" listed. Items are rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 ("not at all") to 4 ("extremely"). The IES-R produces a total score (ranging from 0 to 88), and the subscale scores can also be calculated for the Intrusion, Evasion and Hyperexcitation subscales.

## Statistical analysis

The data analysis was performed using an initial analysis of the data, descriptive statistics and correlation analyzes, they performed an initial analysis of the data, missing information, errors, or abnormal values. Using frequency control; in addition, they conducted descriptive statistics and correlative analyzes. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the characteristics of the sample, where mean and standard deviation are used for continuous variables normally distributed, while frequency and percentage were used for nominal / ordinal variables. The data were analyzed via "Statistical Package for the Social Sciences" (SPSS) version 22 (IBM Corporation).

## Ethical considerations

The research was conducted in full compliance with international ethical principles and the study was approved by the ethics committee and the institutional review committee of the center involved. All participants voluntarily gave their Informed Consent Form to participate in the study after being informed of their objective. All enrolled university students signed the online consent form before completing the questionnaire. The procedures of this study followed the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki on research in human participants.

## **RESULTS**

# Statistics of the target populations of the study (of the samples)

A target population of 720 university students in Italy participated in this study. The sociodemographic characteristics of the participants are shown in table 1. Most students were female (n = 139, 19.3%), with an average age of 23.52 years (SD = 3.72), and Italian (93.5%). From three areas in Italy: north (n = 511, 72.36%), center (n = 84, 11.7%), south and islands (n = 86, 11.94%). Regarding the academic qualification of the sample, 84.86% (n = 611) of the students attended graduation; 76.53%

(n = 551) of them are students in the health field and 23.24% (n = 169) are not students in the health field.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the survey (N =) 720.

		N	%
Genre			
	Male	139	19.30
	Female	573	79.58
	Not declared	8	1.11
Age			
	Years (Average SD)	23.52	3.72
Nationality			
	Italian	673	93.47
	Another	47	6.52
Italian region			
	North	511	70.97
	Center	84	11.66
	South and islands	86	11.94
	Not declared	39	5.41
Marital status	Single	638	88.61
	Married	82	11.38
Academic title			
	Health student	551	76.53
	Student other areas	169	23.47
School level			
	University graduate	611	84.86
	Master's	83	11.53
	Others	17	2.36
"Red zone" dur	ing the Covid-19 pandemic *		
	Yes	438	60.83
	No	243	33.75
	Not declared	39	5.41

<sup>\*</sup> Lombardia, Emilia-Romagna, Veneto and Piemonte.

## Description of the PTSD level

The PTSD level was measured using the Impact Event Scale-Revised, a standardized psychometric scale, composed of 22 items, used to investigate the presence of post-traumatic symptoms. Interviewees were asked to rate each item on a scale from 0 (nothing) to 4 (extremely), based on their experience with respect to the traumatic event for the past 7 days. A total IES-R score of 33 or higher from a maximum score of 88 means the likely presence of a PTSD.

Table 2 shows the descriptive analyzes of the IES scale to describe the level of PTSD among undergraduate students. The use of this tool allows to obtain a total score from the HEI referring to the total level of the PTSD and three additional scores referring to the 3 subscales: intrusion, avoidance, and hyperactivity.

Descriptive statistics are reported in the following tables.



Rev Bras Saúde Global 2021; 01:02

Table 2. Descriptive analysis of the instruments applied and their subscales.

	Average	SD
Evasion	2.09	0.72
Hyperactivity	2.02	0.66
Intrusion	2.53	0.63
IES (total score)	6.64	1.74

Table 3 reports the descriptive statistics for the IES-R and describes, through means and standard deviations, the level of the PTSD for each domain of the instrument. Specifically: evasion M = 2.09; SD = 0.72; Hyperactivity H = 2.02; SD = 0.66; intrusion M = 2.53; SD = 0.63 and in the total HEI score: M = 6.64; 1.74.

**Table 3.** Descriptive analyzes of the instruments applied and their subscales, broken down by gender.

	Male		Female	
	Average	SD	Average	SD
Evasion	1,831	0,661	2,159	0,718
Hyperactivity	1,773	0,587	2,070	0,649
Intrusion	2,248	0,609	2,592	0,604
IES (total score)	5,852	1,593	6,822	1,714

The subdivision of the sample in two subgroups according to sex, allows to highlight how the average in the subscales of avoidance (M = 2,159), hyperactivity (M = 2,070), intrusion (M = 2,592) and the total score of the HEI (M = 6,822) in females are greater than in males (Table 3).

**Table 4.** Descriptive analysis of the instruments applied and their subscales, divided according to the university environment (health area; non-health area).

	Health course		Non-healthcare course	
	Average	SD	Average	SD
Evasion	2,077	2,058	2,092	0,755
Hyperactivity	1,993	1,989	2,050	0,672
Intrusion	2,437	2,365	2,590	0,611
IES (total score)	6,507	6,412	6,732	1,759

The subdivision of the sample in two subgroups based on the university course taken, allows us to highlight how the average in the avoidance subscales (M = 2,092), hyperactivity (M = 2,050), intrusion (M = 2,590) and the total HEI score (M = 6.732) in the subgroup that attends a university course not related to health is greater than in the subgroup that attends a university course in health.

**Table 5.** Descriptive analysis of the instruments applied and their subscales, divided according to the area of origin (red zone; outside the red zone).

	Coming fr zone"	om the "rec	Not from Zone"	the "Red
	Average	SD	Average	SD
Evasion	2,094	0,707	2,093	0,744
Hyperactivity	2,003	0,660	2,026	0,623
Intrusion	2,501	0,617	2,580	0,626
IES (total score)	6,598	1,737	6,699	1,731

In conclusion, the sample was divided into two subgroups based on the area of origin, defined by the following criteria: The Red Zone which included the regions of Lombardy, Piedmont, Emilia-Romagna and Veneto and the Non-Red Zone which included the other regions of Italy. This allowed us to highlight how the average in the subscales, hyperactivity (M = 2,026), intrusion (M = 2,580) and the total HEI score (M = 6,699) in the subgroup from the non-Red Zone was higher than the subgroup that came from Red Zone. Except for the avoidance subscale (M = 2.093), which had a higher average in the subgroup from the Red Zone.

#### **DISCUSSION**

This empirical study aims to describe for the first time the level of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) experienced by Italian university students during the Covid-19 epidemic. Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) is an anxiety disorder that develops in causal connection with a traumatic event of extreme objective severity (terrorist attacks, military combat, natural or man-made disasters, rape, kidnapping, serious road accidents, Covid-19) and is characterized by a course that tends to be chronic, an unsatisfactory response to pharmacological treatments and an individual's commitment to social, occupational, and family functioning<sup>20-22</sup>. PTSD can occur in people of all ages, from children and adolescents to adults, and can occur in family members.

In this sense, unlike other stressors of everyday life, since Covid-19 infection can spread quickly with important health consequences, it is perceived as an uncontrollable stress factor that can cause an intense feeling of anxiety and panic. Therefore, given the current global concern over the Covid-19 epidemic, studies on the prevalence and risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder may be particularly useful for university executives to prevent, target or treat - for test the possible negative psychological consequences of epidemics in the population of university students<sup>23</sup>.

The PTSD symptoms investigated with the IES-R, table 3, show that students present greater intrusion (mean 2.53), that is, a tendency to relive the traumatic event through intrusive thoughts, unpleasant memories and recurring nightmares. Hyperactivity is the least manifested subscale (mean 2.02), it is the additional dimension compared to the original HEI and detects the presence of symptoms of tension and hyperactivation (for example, expressing feelings of detachment or estrangement from others, or accusing dullness of reactivity, difficulty falling asleep and concentration, irritability). Avoidance, on the other hand, is halfway between the two subscales (average 2.09), it detects the subject's implementation of persistent avoidance behaviors in relation to the symptoms associated with the traumatic event.

The survey results highlighted a high risk for Italian university students to have PTD due to the Covid-19 epidemic we are experiencing. A similar study was carried out between April 2006 and January 2010, also in a population of young survivors of the Aquila earthquake with the following results: 44.2% (N = 83) and 37.4% (N = 70) exhibited moderate and high levels of psychological distress, respectively. 66.7% (N = 120) reported the presence of relevant post-traumatic symptomatology (post-traumatic syndrome) with IES-R> 28, the symptoms most frequently complained of were intrusion (56%) (intrusive memories [23, 4%] and flashbacks [31.6%]), symptoms of hyperactivity (48%) (sleep disorders [55.7%] and memory problems [29.1%]) and avoidance in 39% of the evaluated subjects24. Comparing the two studies, it can be concluded that, in both cases, intrusion is the subscale that most affects young survivors of the Aquila earthquake and university students during the Covid-19 pandemic, hence the tendency to relive the trau-



matic event through intrusive thoughts, unpleasant memories and recurring nightmares. The hyperactivity subscale, on the other hand, is more developed in young survivors of the L'Aquila earthquake compared to the avoidance scale, unlike university students during the Covid-19 pandemic, who found a higher result on the avoidance versus hyperactivity.

In addition, studies have shown that gender is an important biological determinant of vulnerability to psychosocial stress<sup>25</sup>. In this sense, our study found that students experienced and experienced higher levels of PDTS than male students. In support of this, recent literature has also indicated that, compared to men, women have significantly more symptoms of PTSD<sup>2,26</sup>. When an emergency occurs, women may be more vulnerable than men, less likely to use effective coping strategies and tend to interpret PTSD negatively<sup>27</sup>. On the other hand, women are instinctively more sensitive to loss and stress and therefore can develop negative emotions and symptoms of PTSD (Dell'Osso et al., 2011). However, some studies have found that men are more diagnosed with PTSD than women<sup>28</sup>. This may be since men assume more responsibilities in family care3. These diverse discoveries inspired us to further explore the relationship between gender, psychological distress, and PTSD.

#### Study limitations

This study has many limitations. The adoption of the observational design of the cross-selection data collection does not provide information before and after the Covid-19 epidemic; this undermined the possibility of determining the trajectory of the associations described over time. Sampling adopted a convenience approach; this suggests caution in the interpretation of inferential analysis, since it is an unlikely sampling technique in which existing study subjects recruit future subjects from their acquaintances. Other psychological results (eg, self-efficacy, exhaustion, professional values, etc.) or health behaviors<sup>29-31</sup>, or organizational care model<sup>21, 32, 33</sup> may have a positive or negative impact, which are not assessed in this study.

These variables could influence the influence of PTSD and its subscales, so the results of this study should be investigated in future research.

## **CONCLUSION**

The objective of the study was to examine for the first time PTSD, a form of mental suffering that develops because of highly traumatic experiences, such as the Covid-19 epidemic, in Italian university students during the pandemic, through applying an online questionnaire. Italy currently has about 1,721,790 university students; therefore, the psychological impact of the new Covid-19 emergency and changes in daily life can be considered a public health problem<sup>34</sup>, the mental health and safety of university students should be the main priority<sup>23</sup>.

The results obtained after applying the questionnaire showed the presence of PTSD in Italian university students after the Covid-19 pandemic, which has a negative impact on everyday life. In conclusion, the presence of significant psychological distress, even more than the elaboration of a formal psychiatric diagnosis, requires a psychiatric survey and investigation to identify the development of mental discomfort in the beginning, monitor the short- and long-term consequences with early intervention measures. Further studies should be conducted using a straighter research design, to investigate PTSD in Italian university students from other perspectives.

**Funding:** This study was funded by local research funds from the IRCCS Policlinic San Donato, a Clinical Research Hospital partially funded by the Italian Ministry of Health.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We would like to thank all the study participants. We thank student Sara Borges Nascimento for contributing to the writing of this article.

76

#### REFERENCES

- Röhr S, Müller F, Jung F, Apfelbacher C, Seidler A, Riedel-Heller SG. Psychosocial Impact of Quarantine Measures during Serious Coronavirus Outbreaks: A Rapid Review. Psychiatr Prax. 2020;47((4)):179-89.
- 2. Li X, Krumholz HM, Yip W, Cheng KK, De Maeseneer J, Meng Q, et al. Quality of primary health care in China: challenges and recommendations. Lancet. 2020;395(10239):1802-12.
- Guo L, Wu M, Zhu Z, Zhang L, Peng S, Li W, et al. Effectiveness and influencing factors of online education for caregivers of patients with eating disorders during COVID-19 pandemic in China. Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2020; (June):1-10.
- 4. Pfefferbaum B, North CS. Mental Health and the Covid-19 Pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020 Aug; 383(6):510-2.
- Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, Lui LMW, Gill H, Phan L, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2020;277:55-64.
- Husky MM, Kovess-Masfety V, Swendsen JD. Stress and anxiety among university students in France during Covid-19 mandatory confinement. Compr Psychiatry. 2020;102:152191.
- Marelli S, Castelnuovo A, Somma A, Castronovo V, Mombelli S, Bottoni D, et al. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on sleep quality in university students and administration staff. J Neurol. 2020;
- 8. Moreno C, Wykes T, Galderisi S, Nordentoft M, Crossley N, Jones N, et al. How mental health care should change as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(9):813-24.
- Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. Psychiatry Res. 2020;288:112954.
- 10. Chi X, Becker B, Yu Q, Willeit P, Jiao C, Huang L, et al. Prevalence and Psychosocial Correlates of Mental Health Outcomes Among Chinese College Students During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11: 803.
- 11. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112934(11: 803).
- 12. Deja M, Denke C, Weber-Carstens S, Schröder J, Pille CE, Hokema F, et al. Social support during intensive care unit stay might improve mental impairment and consequently health-related quality of life in survivors of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care. 2006;10(5):R147.
- 13. Foa EB, Cashman L, Jaycox L, Perry K. The validation of a self-report measure of posttraumatic stress disorder: The posttraumatic diagnostic scale. Psychol Assess. 1997;9((4)):445-451.
- 14. Lei XY, Xiao LM, Liu YN, Li YM. Prevalence of Depression among Chinese University Students: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11((4)):e0153454.
- 15. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112921.
- 16. Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D. The epidemiology of post-trau-



Rev Bras Saúde Global 2021: 01:02

matic stress disorder after disasters. Epidemiol Rev. 2005;27:78-91.

- 17. Neria Y, Nandi A, Galea S. Post-traumatic stress disorder following disasters: A systematic review. Psychol Med. 2008;38((4)):467-80.
- 18. Ibrahim H, Hassan CQ. Post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms resulting from torture and other traumatic events among Syrian Kurdish refugees in Kurdistan Region, Iraq. Front Psychol. 2017;8:241.
- 19. Neria Y, DiGrande L, Adams BG. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Following the September 11, 2001, Terrorist Attacks: A Review of the Literature Among Highly Exposed Populations. Am Psychol. 2011;66((6)):429-46.
- Hamner MB, Robert S, Frueh BC. Treatment-resistant posttraumatic stress disorder: Strategies for intervention. CNS Spectrums, 2004.
- 21. Rosa D, Terzoni S, Dellafiore F, Destrebecq A. Systematic review of shift work and nurses' health. Occup Med (Chic Ill). 2019;69((4)):237-43.
- 22. McHugh GS, Engel DC, Butcher I, Steyerberg EW, Lu J, Mushkudiani N, et al. Prognostic value of secondary insults in traumatic brain injury: Results from the IMPACT study. J Neurotrauma. 2007;
- 23. Sahu P. Closure of Universities Due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Impact on Education and Mental Health of Students and Academic Staff. Cureus. 2020;12((4)).
- Pollice R, Bianchini V, Roncone R, Casacchia M. Distress psicologico e disturbo post-traumatico da stress (DPTS) in una popolazione di giovani sopravvissuti al terremoto dell'Aquila. Riv Psichiatr. 2012;
- 25. Wang J, Korczykowski M, Rao H, Fan Y, Pluta J, Gur RC, et al. Gender difference in neural response to psychological stress. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2007;2((3)):227-239.
- 26. Kun P, Tong X, Liu Y, Pei X, Luo H. What are the determinants of post-traumatic stress disorder: Age, gender, eth-

- nicity or other? Evidence from 2008 Wenchuan earthquake. Public Health. 2013;
- 27. Tolin DF, Foa EB. Sex differences in trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: A quantitative review of 25 years of research. Psychol Trauma Theory, Res Pract Policy. 2008;
- 28. Liang L, Gao T, Ren H, Cao R, Qin Z, Hu Y, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder and psychological distress in Chinese youths following the COVID-19 emergency. J Health Psychol. 2020;25(9).
- 29. Arrigoni C, Grugnetti AM, Caruso R, Dellafiore F, Borelli P, Cenzi M, et al. Describing the health behaviours of future nurses: A cross-sectional study among Italian nursing students. Acta Biomed. 2020;91(3):e2020068.
- 30. Barello S, Falcó-Pegueroles A, Rosa D, Tolotti A, Graffigna G, Bonetti L. The psychosocial impact of flu influenza pandemics on healthcare workers and lessons learnt for the COVID-19 emergency: a rapid review. Int J Public Health. 2020;7:1205-16.
- 31. Nania T, Dellafiore F, Caruso R, Barello S. Risk and protective factors for psychological distress among Italian university students during the COVID-19 pandemic: The beneficial role of health engagement. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2020;2076402094.
- 32. Dellafiore F, Arrigoni C, Ghizzardi G, Baroni I, Conte G, Turrini F, et al. Development and validation of the pressure ulcer management self-efficacy scale for nurses. J Clin Nurs. 2019;28(17-18):3177-88.
- 33. Dellafiore F, Nania T, Bruscagin M, Pittella F, Ripamonti SC, Barello S, et al. Mixed method sequential study on the barriers underpinning a new patient centred care model implementation: the nurses' perspectives. Prof Inferm. 2019;72((3)):171-80.
- 34. Dubey S, Biswas P, Ghosh R, Chatterjee S, Dubey MJ, Chatterjee S, et al. Psychosocial impact of COVID-19. Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev. 2020;14((5)):779-788.

